



General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/47/930
19 April 1993
ENGLISH
ORIGINAL: SPANISH

Forty-seventh session
Agenda items 22 and 104

THE SITUATION OF DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN HAITI

PROGRAMME BUDGET FOR THE BIENNIUM 1992-1993

Programme budget implications of draft resolution A/47/L.56

Report of the Fifth Committee

Rapporteur: Mr. Jorge OSELLA (Argentina)

1. At its 65th meeting, on 19 April 1993, the Fifth Committee, pursuant to rule 153 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly, considered the statement by the Secretary-General (A/C.5/47/93) on the programme budget implications of draft resolution A/47/L.56, relating to the situation of democracy and human rights in Haiti. The Fifth Committee had before it the related report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (A/47/7/Add.17).

2. Statements and comments made in the course of the Committee's consideration of this item are reflected in the relevant summary record (A/C.5/47/SR.65).

DECISION OF THE FIFTH COMMITTEE

3. The Fifth Committee decided, without a vote, to inform the General Assembly that, should it adopt the draft resolution contained in document A/47/L.56, there will be the need for an expenditure of up to 19,112,600 United States dollars and the Secretary-General should be authorized to enter into further commitments of up to 18.1 million dollars; that, as an exceptional measure, the level of regular budget appropriations for the year 1993 and any possible additional assessment for the regular budget for the current biennium will be determined in the light of a report of the Secretary-General to be presented to the General Assembly in September 1993; that the trust fund for Haiti should be administered in accordance with the recommendations and observations of the Secretary-General and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions; and that Member States should be invited to respond positively to the appeal by the Secretary-General for voluntary contributions.

A/47/930
English
Page 2

4. After the decision, a statement in explanation of position was made by the representative of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.
